8919

8119

118

1845

List of Retainable papers for 1845

Sent Le[tters] Board file Madura Collector's Office

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			8919 / 30049 169 51
		Secy. Bd. of Rev.	Commn. to him the Sanction of Go[vt] for the Exchange of 12 Cawnies of waste land for the Same extent of maunium allowed, by Mr. Peter in 1822, to the Kamatchi Ammen Covil in Devadhanaputtly of Thenkurrai
			Procgs with Mins: Con: of the 11th January 45 authorising Bibles and Testaments to be exempted from the payment of duty on importation but not extending the exemption to tracts and books of a religious character.
		Do.	Register of Petitions for October 44.
		Do.	Calling for a detailed report on the condition and resources of the Cullimandhai Mootah in reference to the order of the Court of Directors [illegible] 12 and re[illegible]

[illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மார்ச் மாதம் 1984 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் 1084 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.

List of Retainable papers for 18

Letters. ...dura Col...tor's Office.

Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
		on his Sub Collector ... ing it; ... permitting hi... reduce the Permanent ... whenever it might be asce... tained to exceed the capabili... of the Estate and pointing out how to procure ... criterion of its productive...
	Sec. Bd of Rev	Transmitting for re... tion from Pundara ... Madura regarding the right ... church to a piece of ...
"	do	Procgs with Min: ... respectively the 8th and ... Communicating resolu... Govt not to sanction ... paid to the same indivi... in other than their peat...
		Stating that as an ... be ...

[...]esch Register of papers to be retained in the received Letter file of the year 1845.

[...]	[...]	From whom received	Substance
			8919 / 30049
[...]th January 1845	19	Collector of Tanjore	Regarding the boundary dispute between the villages of Villanoor and Vungamanoorangma - regarding the asserted encroachments of the former on the lands of the latter.
14th January 1845	33	Collector of Tinnevelly	Stating that an order has been issued to the Attapidaram Tahsildar to meet the Tahsildar of Paupin-colam at the disputed spot of the [...] for the settlement of the same.
[...] February 1845	92	Collector of Tanjore.	Papers regarding the disputes between the two villages of Sooramoor and Kothamangalam, the former belonging to the Ramnad zemindari and the latter belonging to the district of Tanjore.
12th February [18]45	93	D[itto]	Regarding the boundary dispute between the villages of Villanoor

Date	No.	From whom received	Substance
			and Vunganagaram &c.
17th February 1845	30	D. R. Limond Esqr Subordinate judge of Madura	Regarding the boundary dispute at Perbunally between the zemindars of Ramnad and Yettiapooram.
26th March 1845	20	Ag Head Asst Collector in charge of Trichinopoly.	Stating that the boundary dispute between the villages of Aumanakempotty in Madura and Vodunguampatty an Inam village in the Trichinopoly district should be enquired into by an authorized Punchayat according to the provision of Regulation XII of 1816 &c.
15th April 1845.		Collector of Trichinopoly	Forwarding copy of the Razeenamah executed by the parties concerned in the boundary dispute between the villages of Aumenaiker

Date	No.	From whom received	Substance
			in Madura and Podunguinpatty an Enam village in the Trichinopoly district.
7th May 1845	28th	Collector of Tanjore	Stating that as the desired settlement has been obtained by the entire acquiescence of the manager of Ramnad on the settlement of the claims of Villanoor as laid down by him, it is unnecessary to reenter into the investigation of the matter
1st August 1845	49	Collector of Trichinopoly	Regarding the boundary dispute between the villages of [illegible]nickampatty in Madura and Podunguinpatty an Enam village in the Trichinopoly district.
2nd September 1845	70	Collector of Tanjore	Stating that he has directed the Tahsildar of Pattucottah to proceed to Thiruvanoor for the purpose of settling the water

Date	No.	From whom received	Substance
			water dispute between Shiravanoor and Kotta-mangalam.
20th September 1845	703	Collector Tanjore	Forwarding, for information copy of an arzee from the Tahsildar of Pattucottah regarding the tank appertaining to the pagoda of Stree Vaparnpadynadaswamy in the district of Tanjore.
20th October 1845	183	D. [illegible] Linord Subordinate Judge of Madura	Clearing certain doubts as to whether the provisions of Section II, Regulation II of 1831 prohibiting sale of service Inaums can be overlooked &c.
26th October 1845	..	W. Tracy & H. Cherry on behalf of the American Mission Madura	Requesting for [illegible] grant of a piece of perambokee land immediately adjoining the premises of the Mission Seminary

Date	No.	From whom received	Substance
			Seminary at Pasumalai.
31st October 1845	764	Collector of Tanjore	Forwarding for information copy of an arzee from the Tahsildar of Puttucottah reporting his inability to proceed to Shiravanoor for regulating the height of the calingulah to be built at that place for the Kothamangalam tank &c.

No 19 R1

Tanjore

Sellumm 13th January 1845
Recd 17 JB

From Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Collector of Tanjore

To J. Blackburne Esquire
Pl. Collector of
Madura

Sir

I have the honor to furnish herein Copies of the report and of its enclosure of the Tahsildar of Puttoocottah who according to the suggestion contained in your letter of the 23d Octr last was directed to meet the Ameen of Ooroo for the purpose

purpose of investigating and ad-
justing the dispute between the
Villages of Villianoor and
Vumganagaroom &c regarding the
asserted encroachments of the
former on the lands of the
latter. By the Tahsildar's
report and by the admission
of the Ryots of Villianoor, to
whose deposition I beg to
refer you, there would not
appear to be just grounds
for the Complaints of the Ryots
of Villianoor.

Sayidee I have the honor to be
Villianoor Sir
13th January 1845 Your most Obedt Servt
Recd 17th JB

W. H. Montgomery
Collector

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(True Copy)

[illegible signature]

Collector

R [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

/ True Copy /

[illegible signature]
Collector

No. 33

R

Trinevelly 14 Jany 1845
Recd 17 Do

19

From
E. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector

To
J. Blackburne Esqre
Principal Collector
Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 29 Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that an order has been issued to the Ottapedaram Tahsildar in whose jurisdiction the Candelgoody Village is situated, to proceed with the necessary Accounts &c to the disputed Spot and meet the Tahsildar of Paramacoody

Paupancolum in the 28th
Instant for the purpose
of effecting Settlement of
the Dispute in question –

I have the honor to be
Tinnevelly } Sir
14th Jany 1815 } Your Most Obedt Servt
Recd 17th JB

C. B. Thomas
Collector

To
The Principal Collector
Madura

Encl
C. 7

From the Collr of
Tinnevelly 14th
Jany 1815

No. 92

Tanjore, Vellum 12th February 184[illegible]
Recd 23 [illegible]

From

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.

Collector of Tanjore

To

J. Blackburne Esqr.

Principal Collr. of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st instant proposing that the Tahsildar of Puttocottah should proceed on the 28th to the Ramnad Village of Sivarancor to meet the Head Police of Anamenthacoody in order that they might there unitedly investi=gate the disputes between the two

Villages

Villages of Siravanoor and Cothamum:
:galoor--

2 Conceiving that the orders which I informed you in my letter of the 4th October I had given to the Tahsil:dar of Outtoocottah had not been obeyed I called upon him to state to me what he had done in pursuance of them. It appears that on the 30th Decem:ber 1844 he wrote to the Ameen of Ana:maathacooy saying that he would be at the disputed spot in three days and received an answer from him, that accordingly he proceeded to the spot, but not finding the Ameen there wrote to request a meeting,

Vide No. 1

Vide No. 2

Vide No. 3

that

R

that the Ameen not coming tho' only 3 or 4 Miles distant, and the business of stopping the breaches in the tanks being urgent, he on the 5th January examined the disputed place in presence of the Villagers of Sivavanoor and of 2 or three other Villages belonging to Ramnad and left it that Evening - After his arrival at Ponketty he received a

...ce No. 4. reply from the Anamenthacorry
...ice reply No. 5. Ameen.—

3. I have the honor to enclose a plan of the Tank bund. The Tahsildar reports that it has not been recently extended, that on it is a tree of many years old and that the Earth forming it is hardened by time - +

It-

It has however been the practice for the Cothamangalom Villagers to relieve their tank by cutting the banks at certain places marked C. and closing them when this has been sufficiently accomplished and it is the exercise of this practice which has caused the dispute, the Cothamangalom people naturally desiring to keep as much water as possible in their Tank and the Sivaganoor Ryots wishing their lands approaching its spread to be fully drained. There appears to me but one way of preventing the disputes to which these opposite inter=
=ests give rise, and that is by

Constructing

R 25

constructing a Calingulah which shall regulate the capacity of the Tank:. This I have now ordered and as no object is likely to be gained by the Tahsildar of Puttoocottah now visiting the spot, and as it would be very inconvenient for him to do so at this moment when his services are par:ticularly required in the examination of Tirs and Shairsee Lands, I would suggest that the proposed meeting between him and your Ameen be deferred till the materials for the construction of the Calingulah are prepared and that then the two officers in conjunction meet & fix the level for it so that

neither

neither party should be injured.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H. A. Montgomery

Recd 23d Feby 1845

Collector &

Magistrate

R

Translate of a Yadast from the Tahsildar of Tutcottah dated 30th December 1824

I have received an order from the hoozoor informing me of the objections on the part of the ryots of Seroovanoor of your talook to the lengthening the bund of the Cothamungalom tank of this talook by the ryots of that Village on the plea that by this work the arable lands of Seroovanoor will be damaged and directing me to proceed to the spot and to settle the dispute in the presence of yourself and that of the parties concerned

I am now at Vungananagarum in order to see the boundary in dispute between its ryots and those of Vinnaloo of the Ramnad Zemindary

I

I shall probably be there (Cothamun-lum) in 2 or 3 days and shall let you know exactly the date of my coming thither.

As it is necessary that you should be also at the spot with the ryots of Serouvanoor I give you this previous information on the subject

(signed) Sorbarow
of Talukdar.

(True Translate)

W. H. Montgomery
Collector.

Comp.
J. R.
C.M.

Translation of a yadast dated 31st December 1844 from Soobroya Iyen Ameen of Anoomundagoody to Soobrow Tasildar of Putcottah

Your yadast dated 30th was recei-ved on the 31st instant in which you state that agreeably to your instruction from the Hoozoor on the subject of the objections on the part of the ryots of Seroovanoor to the raising up of a new bund (which they apprehend would cause damage to their Nunjah) contrary to mamool by the ryots of Cothamungalum of your talook, you purpose to proceed to the disputed spot in 2 or 3 days in order to settle the matter and request me that I should meet you with the ryots of Seroovanoor on the day that may be fixed by you.

I have also received instructions from my superior dated October of the present year directing that I should proceed to the spot, settle the dispute and make a report thereof to the Hoozoor. The dis=-puted spot being five leagues off from your talook and closely situated to mine I had written to your predecessor request-ing to let me know the exact time that may be convenient for him to come to the spot in order that I may meet him there but hither-to the disputed spot has not been inspected nor the matter settled. It is now that I have received your yadast on the subject. The rain is heavy and the river Paumpaur which runs across the road between the disputed spot and this place is full. As soon as the rain

ceases and the river is down I shall co

meet you on the spot on the day that

you may fix -

In the mean time I beg you will

send the necessary orders to the Moon=

siff Ambalagar of the Village to pre=

-vent the ryots of Cotharungalum

from causing as usual any trouble by

lengthening the bund of their Tank -

As you know the rain is incessant and

the river full I have written this for your

information

(signed) Soobiya Iyer

Ameen

True Translation

R. L. Montgomery

Collector

Compd.

J.R.C.W.

R

23 Jany 1845

Translate of a Yadast dated 5th January 1845 from the Head Police of Puteottah to the Ameen -

33.

I have received your yadast in answer to mine requesting your meeting at the spot in dispute between the ryots of Cothamungalum of this talook and Sirvanoor of your talook. In which yadast you have stated that you will accord to my request

I am arrived at the disputed spot this morning - Should you also come here, the place in question can be inspected, and a settlement effected - I would therefore request that you will repair to this place to day.

I have much to do in the Cusbah and beg that you will come here as expeditiously as possible - (Signed) Sorberoo

(True Translation)

W. C. Montgomery
Collector

Compd.
A. R. C. M.

R

23 Feb 1845

Translation of a yadast dated 5th January from Soobraya Iyen Ameen to Soobrow Tahsildar of Puttoocottah

As your yadast of this day was delivered to me at 7 P. M. by the Cavalgar of Cothamungalum I have not been able to proceed to you immediately as therein requested. I wish I may have an interview with you at 8 A. M. of the 6th instant.

(Signed) Soobraya Iyen
Ameen

(True Translation)

Compd
[illegible]
C.M.

[illegible]
Collector

Translation of a yadast dated 6th January 1845 from Soobarow Head of Police of Puttocottah to Soobraya Iyen Ameen -

I have written you a yadast dated Vunganogar, 30th December last, informing you of my intention to repair to the spot in dispute between the ryots of Cothamungalum of this talook and Sirvanoor of yours in order to settle the case agreeably to the Hoozoor order and requesting that you should meet me there in two or three days and another from the disputed spot dated the morning of the 5th instant - Besides these I understand from the Mettoopeon of Serovanoor that he has also reported to you early morning of my arrival there - I remained there the whole yesterday but have neither met you nor obtained an answer for my letter -

The distance between Puttocottah my Cusbah station and the disputed spot is about five leagues but you are within three miles distance -

Had you been yesterday as I requested we could have inspected the disputed spot and made an arrangement, but it does not appear what was the cause of your non attendance.

I could have waited for your coming but as the heavy rain fallen in these days and the freshes in the jungle streams which caused breaches in many tanks as well as other urgent circar business called me hence I left Cothamungalum this morning and arrived at Porpaity.

I have inspected the disputed spot in company with the Merassdars &ca and ascertained that no new bund was ever made nor the Sirvanoor cultivation injured in consequence as alleged by the ryots of that Village.

Some parts of the bund where breaches occurred only appear to have been strengthened as they have been made formerly on both sides. I hope your own view of the place will p

R

this. As it would appear that the objections on the part of the Sirovanoor ryots are frivolous, you might issue instructions to the Puttamanigar of the Village to the effect that no prohibition be made to the usual strengthening by the ryots of Cothamungalum of the bund of their Tank.

(Signed) Soobarow
H. Police

(True translation)

[illegible]
Collector

Comp.
[illegible]
C.W.

No 5

Index of the
No of Police of
Pettawattah


Sarrovanoor attached to Ramnad.
A
1366
Cothamungalum
in Sengamanem
Paliapol.

R

No. 93.

Tanjore, Vellam 13th February 1845.
Recd 23 do

From

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Collector of Tanjore

To

J. Blackburne Esq.
Princl. Collr. of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor, in refer-
-ence to your letter of the 1st instant,
to state that the Tahsildar of Puttoo-
-cottah positively asserts that in
company with the Ameen of Oroor
he passed 5 days in the examination
of the spots in dispute between the
Villages of Vilaunoor and Vunga-
-nagaroo, Amaradaky, Coyanvetty
Vyel, Coonatooranvyel. He has now
furnished

furnished me with the accompanying plan.

In the matter in dispute between Vilanoor and the 2 Villages of Amaraduk Ky at B and of Caujanvetty vyel at C a settlement has been effected as reported by your Ameen of 13th ultimo, Copy of which accompanied your letter under reply. There remains therefore but two questions for decision, the 1st of these is the Complaint of the Vilanoor Ryots that the Vunjanagarom people have recently encroached on their Nunjah lands by lengthening the bank of the Tank. An examination of the Survey Accounts of Fusly 1238 shews that the Tank bank, as it now is, does

not

R

not extend beyond the limits of Vunga-
nagaram. The Tahsildar reports
that the levels of the lands present
any injury to Villianoor as it now
is, and it would appear that on a
similar dispute arising between
Vide Enclos: No1. the same parties a Razeenamah
was entered into by them on the 5th
November 1821 before Vencatabadyah
the late Ameen of Oroor of which
Copies were given to the disputing
parties tho' no mention is made of
this Razeenamah in the report of
the Ameen. A reference to it shows
that the present complaint is merely
a revival of that then disposed of.
The remaining question is
whether

whether or not the Inhabitants of the Shotriam Village of Coonatoo=ranvyel have usurped the lands of Vilanoor. The lands asserted to be usurped are entered in the Survey Accounts of the District of Fusly 1238 as belonging to Coonatooranvyel & the enjoyment for 20 or 25 years by the Koonatooranvyel Ryots of 25

Vide D in the plan accompanying.

Verriadoy land is admitted by the Ryots — of Poolyanimbalom & as a fact in their Memo: of the 8th October last neither does it appear that the assertions of the Vilanoor ryots are confirmed by the Sasa: Vide: nams they produced. I would beg your consideration of these arguments and if they are insufficient to shew that the claims of the Vilanoor

people

R

47

people are not justified I shall be happy to cooperate with you in a reinvestigation of those not hitherto disposed of, but I must request a postponement of such enquiry till the end of April after the settlement of the Puttoorthah Talook shall have been completed.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

23 Feby 1845 /3

H. C. Montgomery
Collector


A.B.C.D. These denote the disputed places.
Koonatoranoygb.
D
C
B
A
Vungunagarum

R

55

Translation of a Rajenamah dated 5th November 1841 corresponding with the 22d Arpesy month of the Year Pilava executed on Mutual consent by Natcheyappen son of Rakappen the Complainant 1. Samoovcen the Mahajanum 2 - Coopien the Manager of Ramaiswareenpungally 3 - the Ryots of Vauganagarum a Shrotrium Village in Puttoocottah Talook of the Tanjore District, and Ramanadapilley son of Vissoovanadapilley Meerassdar of Velanoor attached to the Davestanum of Auloodiarcovil in Cotapatanum Talook of the Ramnad Country 1 Soopen the Son of Catan Ambalagar 2. Sinnapilley son of Ramanadapilley the Ambalomgoomestahs 3 Mootoopilley Son of Mootezapilley of Peeragoody 4 Caveleyapapilley son of Nagalingapilley 5 Moottooemarapilley son of Ragoonadapilley 6 before Vencatapady Iyen Police Officer of Varoor Talook.

The Ryots of Valanoor who possess no right to the Voytalay of Veligatoor have not only forcibly prevented the ryots

mouth of a channel.

of Vunganagarom who as usual raised the western old bund of their tank by putting earth upon it, and blocked up the Veytoley of Valiyatoor at the water Course supply- the Chuttrum Village of Meenspaub ing the tanks of the said Valiyatoor and Vunganagarom but also reduced the height of the old bund which was one yard high, 30 yards long, and one and a half yards broad, by half a yard throughout quarrelled with the other party (ryots of Vunganagarum and preferred Complaints in Vooar talook. The complaints of the ryots of both villages, Vunganagarum and Valanoor, and their respective witnesses have been examined. On our (the defendants ryots of Vilanoor) admitting that half a yard of the height of the bund which was raised ten years before by the prosecutors (ryots of Vunganagarum) was reduced by us throughout, we the defendants apologized and suffered the ryots of Vunganagarum to raise the bund of their tank. The ryots of Velyatoor are to raise a reasonable bund not exceeding one yard in height from the Tedul or high place situated west of the channel supplying the tank

R

to the *Tedul* or high place situated in the south East corner or Sanymooley of the east bank or Varapoo of the field situated east of Saingamalayukal belonging to Velanoor Nagalingapilley in order to prevent the nunjah fields of Velanoor being innundated by a recent over flow of the tank. The Velanoor ryots are to enjoy the Vedapullum or small stream which lies within the bund and measuring about 100 yards attached to Velanoor with the water that it may contain. The ryots of Velanoor are to Cultivate the six fields of Saingamalayukal without any interference of the ryots of Vunganagarum. The ryots of Vunganagarum should not extend their bund in the field beyond the east of the bank situated east of the Saingamalayukal but make a bank or Sautoocaray in an oblique form. The ryots of Vunganagar should not interfere with the Roote-rabooomy or burying ground and the place for brick kiln as well as the high ground which is situated west of the said Sautoocary. As we the ryots of both villages, have made this amicable arrangement we bind ourselves to abide by the same -

We will never abuse nor quarrel one with the other in prejudice to this our mutual razenamah we have executed this at our free will.

In the 1st line of the 2nd side of this document some interpolation as made.

(Signed) Samoovien son of Ramien mahajanum of Vunganagarum

(Signed) Coopien Manager of the shares of the Sharers in Vunganagarum residing in Ramaswarum.

(Marked) Nautcheyapen

(Signed) Ramanadapilley son of Viswanadapilley Merassdar of Velanoor

(Signed) Soopen, Grand son of Catan Ambalagar the Merassdar of Velanoor

(Signed) Sinnapilley Ambalum Goomasta of Do.

(Signed) Mootoopilley son of Mooteyapilley of Do.

(Signed) Cauleyapilley son of Nagalingapilley of Do.

(Marked) Mootoocoomarapilley

(Signed) Sunkaranorayanapilley

R

(Signed) Mootoosamy pilley of Cottyvayul

(Signed) Mootoosamia pilley of Navaloor

(Marked) Ramen

Both parties have received a copy each of this Razenamah

(Signed) Venkidapady Iyen
Police Officer

(True translate)

Compd.
J. R.
C. W.

H. Montgomery
Collector

R

Translate of a Sambarasadanum executed by Tiroomailasadoopudy Regoonada Daver of Saidoo after all his usual Titles on monday the 15th Vyasy of the year Permadeeja of the 1595th Sagaptum

We have ordered Oochikalacuttalay, erected a charitable building at this place for our Tiroopáramtoray Auloo=dyaswamy, appointed Muroodapundaram to look after it & endowed the same with the Village Juchamalay & its tank a hamlet of Vilanoor in Amaradakyput. This Village is bounded as follows,

Western limits

On the East by Mailapraudany Myeloorany situated in the

Northern

Northern limits. In the south by Keela-
=prandavy Maycloorney.
Eastern limits
In the west by Adapentavoo situated
west of Veeliminngalom & Vunga-
=narcosavanoorany
Southern limits
In the south by the Voytoley of
Amaradakyvettywayal -
The tank of Tuchamaly the
hamlet of Vilanoor is situated
thus" In the east by Cobatraeyal
of the western limits. In the south
by Pundaloorany and seeroorany of the
Northern limits. In the west Etyaw
& Punguntangyoyal of the eastern
limits & in the north by Reemaniy
of

R of sunnavelly vayal. As the Nunjah,
Poonjah hidden treasures, water,
trees, stones, Wells, and tanks within
the village thus bounded are granted
as survamaneyum for the espences
of Abeshaigum Neevaidanum,
holy flow & holy lamp, Teroopany,
holy Nundavanam, & holy Muttum
&c. of Auloodeya Swamy, they are to
be enjoyed in perpetuity and the
charity to be Extended. Those who
extend this charity by their aid,
will from generation to generation
be blessed with children and enjoy
many prosperities. Those who do
any thing in prejudice of this charity
will become the traitors of their
Father,

Father, mother, and Instructor and
suffer the damnation declared to
those who have killed bramins and
Caranpasoo (a superior caste of cow)
This sadanam was written by said
Ramalingom Pillay - This is to be
engraved in stone. Maroodapundarm
& Egapundarum are to enlarge and
enjoy this charity hereditarily.—

(True translate)

[illegible]
Collector.

Compd
J.R.
C.M.

Sadanum -
E. No. 2

R

Trichinopoly District Cutlay, Collector's Circuit Cutchery 26th March 1845

Recd 29th do

63

From, R. J. W. Sullivan Esquire
Actg Hd Assistant Collector In charge
Trichinopoly

To,
J. Blackburne Esquire
Prinl Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 20th Instant, and beg to state that the disputed boundary alluded to in your letter between the Village of Aumana-Kumputty in Madura and Podungumputty an Inam Village in this district should be enquired into by an authorized Punchayets according to the Provision of Regulation XII of 1816, their decision will be deemed by the authorities a legal one - I have however agreeably to your request directed the Tahsildar of Vittoocutty Taluk to attend on the spot on the 10th Proxi-mo, and request you will direct the Tahsildar of Maroongapoory to meet him there. -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

R J W Sullivan
Actg Hd Asst Collector Incharge.

From the Acting
Hd Asst Collr in
charge Trichinopoly
26th March 1845

No. 30 of 1845

From

C. R. Limond Esqre.
Subordinate Judge of
Madura

To

J. Blackburne Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Madura

Dated 17th Feby. 1845
Recd. 18 Feb

Sir,

In the present state of the proceedings in O. S. No. 167 of 1836 re-placed on the file of the Subordinate Court for reinvestigation, I am unable to ascertain upon what grounds the Collector of Tinnevelly obtained a Samathy pathirom or Deed of Consent from the Vakeels of the Zemindar of Ettiahpoorum agreeing to the settlement of the boundary dispute at Peroonally between the Zemindars of Ramnad and Ettiahpoorum by the Principal Collector of Madura as an Umpire, I have therefore the

honor

honor to request that you will be good enough to forward to me by one of your Writers the whole of the originals of the English Correspondence which may have taken place between the Revenue Authorities of both the Zillahs of Madura and Tinnevelly the Board of Revenue, and the Government on the subject in question, that I may be able to obtain the information I seek for. Should the copies of any of the above documents be required to be filed of record as explanatory of the view I may take of the case I will do myself the honour of addressing you again on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servant
[signature]
Subte. Judge

Madura
Subte. Court
17th Feby 1845
Recd 18th [initials]

R From

D R Limond Esqre.

Sub.te Judge of

Madura

67

To

J. Blackburne Esqre.

Prin.l Collector of

Madura

Comp.d

R J Johnson

Tr. p. [illegible]

1845

Judicial Department

From

D R Limond Esqre.

Subte. Judge of

Madura

To J Blackburne Esqre.

Princl. Collr. of

Madura

Dated 17th }
Recd. 18th } Feby. 1845

No. 30

Enclosure None

No.

Subject

Vide Interior

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 15th April 1845
Recd 16th Do

N. 22

From, A. P. Onslow Esquire
Collector of Trichinopoly

To, J. Blackburne Esqre
Princl. Collector of Madura

Sir,

With reference to my Head Assistant Mr Sullivan's Letter to you of the 26th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that the Tahsildar of Vettoocalty of this District and the Tahsildar of Maroongapoorei Talook in your district assembled at the disputed spot on the 10th Instant, and caused the disputed land to be measured which was found to consist of 5 13/16 Gorhies. Both parties having executed a razeenamah & amicably settled the dispute I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the razeenamah executed by the Ryots of this and of your district, for the purpose of its being lodged among the records of your Cutcherry, and request that you will send me a copy of the same, with your signature for the records of this Cutcherry. —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
A. P. Onslow
Collector.

From the Collr of
Trichinopoly
15th April 1845

R

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[True Copy]

[illegible]

R No. 284

Tanjore

From | Negapatam 27. May 1845.
Recd 3rd June 45

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.
Collector of Tanjore

To
J. Blackburne Esqre
Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

Since addressing you on the 13th instant I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 19th by which you have communicated the entire acquiescence of the Manager of Ramnad on the settlement of the claims of Vilanoor as laid down in my letter of the 13th February last. It was in the event of disagreement to that settle-

:ment

-ment and in accordance with the
wishes expressed in the last para
of your letter of the 1st February for
the Tahsildar of Puttoocottah again
attending, which as I informed you
in the last para of my letter of
the 13th February I could not accord
till after April, that I deputed
the Deputy Sherestadar to proceed
to Caroor on the 5th Proximo -
As the desired settlement has been
attained it is unnecessary to reenter
into an investigation of the matter
and I shall now inform the Deputy
Sherestadar that his attendance
at Caroor is not requisite and that
he should confine his attention to
the

R

the regulation of the level of the Calingulah at Shirvanoor in conjunction with the Officer on the part of Ramnad deputed by you to meet him on the 7th 8th or 9th Proximo.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

Tanjore.
Negapatam
27th May 1845.
Recd 3rd June

W. C. Montgomerie
Collector

To
The Principal Collector
of
Madura.

From the Coll.n of
Tanjore dated
27th May 1845

Trichinopoly District Voytalagoodaloor Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry 6th August 1845
Recd 13th Aug

No. 69

From A. P. Onslow Esqr.
Collector of Trichinopoly

To J. Blackburne Esqr.
Principal Collector of Madura

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 15th Instant, I have the honor to transmit a copy of an arzee from the Tahsildar of Vittoocutty from which it appears that the inhabitants of the Davestanum village of Podoongoopatty decline acceding to the proposal made by you.

2. Under the circumstances which you have stated regarding the measurement of the village of Ammanaickumpatty I do not feel called upon to take any further trouble on behalf of the villagers of Podoongapatty who must abide by the consequences of their determination.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant.

A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Trichinopoly District
Voytalagoodaloor
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
6th August 1845.
Recd 13th Aug

To J. Blackburne Esqr.
Principal Collector of Madura

R

[illegible]

A true Copy

[illegible]

[illegible]

(A true Copy)

[illegible]

[illegible] Collector

R

No. 70

Tanjore

Negapatam 20th Sept. 1845
Rec. 28th do.

To
The Principal Collector
of
Madura

Sir

I have the honor to forward for your information Copy of an Arzee from Tahsildar of Puttoocotah regarding the Tank the subject of your letter of the 17th July.

It appears by this report that the Tank appertains to the Pagoda of Stree Palampady nada-swamy in this District and that certain improvements were made

to

to it by a former agent of the Ram-
-nad Zemindar. The Tahsildar has
very properly, you will observe, prohi-
-bited cultivation in it for the future

I have the honor to be

R. B. 28 Septr 1845 [illegible]

Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servt.

W. Montgomery

Collector

From the Collr.
of Rajpoor [illegible]
20th Septr 1845

[illegible]

A True Copy

[illegible signature]

Recd 28 [illegible] 1815 [illegible] No. 706 R 91

To

The Principal Collector
of Madura

Sir,

The death of my Deputy Sherestadar having prevented the meeting at Shiranoor for the regulating the height of the Culingulah to be built at that place to the Cothamungalom Tank as arranged in our letters noted in the Margin I have the honor to inform you that I have now directed the Tahsildar of Puttorcottah to proceed to

To the Princl. Collr. of Madura 1st May 1815
From Do. 21 Do.

the

Thiruanoor for that purpose

on the 10th Proximo and request

you will make any arrangements

for a Servant of your Department

meeting him there that you may

think requisite. —

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Facsimile.

Negapatam

23d Septr 1845.

Recd 28th JB

W H Montgomery

Collector.

Madura Oct 26. 1845.
Recd 27th [illegible]

J. Blackburne Esq

Dear Sir

The undersigned members of the American Mission Madura, have been directed by that Mission, to apply to you, or through you to the Madras Government for the grant of a piece of Paramboke land immediately adjoining the premises of the Mission Seminary at Pasumalie 2½ miles from Madura.

2 The Mission have commenced at this place a High School, or Seminary for the instruction of natives of all Castes in the English language and sciences. The buildings already erected, without any assistance from the public, have cost not less than Rs 10000, and when completed

according to our plans, will cost as great a sum in addition.

3.^d The students receive their instruction, boarding, clothing &c. at the expense of the mission, and with the present number of students, which is 54, the annual outlay is not far from Rs 5000.

4th The land for which a grant is requested, is on the side & top of a stony hill, which immediately adjoins, & overlooks our present compound. It contains about 15 Cawnies, more or less, and is entirely useless to any one out of the mission, except for purposes of annoyance, and it is to anticipate, and so prevent, any such annoyance, that the mission now apply for a grant of the land.

5th. An additional reason for the application is, that the mission may hereafter, if it should have the means, be desirous of erecting

an Observatory of some kind on the top of the hill, which is well suited for such a purpose.

If it is not in your own power to make a grant of the above-mentioned piece of land, will you be so kind as to present our request to the Government, accompanied with your own opinion, as to the propriety of the grant?

We remain very truly
Yours &c

On behalf of the Am. Mission Madura.

W. Tracy
A. Cherry.

Copies sent to the Bd
on the 5th December 18[illegible]

J. Blackburn Esq
Madura

No. 183 of 1845

From

C. D. R. Limond Esqre.
Subordinate Judge of
Madura

To

J. Blackburne Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Madura

Dated 24th October 1845
Recd 20th [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 14th Instant requesting to be informed (with reference to the Proceedings of this Court dated the 25th Ultimo) whether the provisions of section II, Regulation VI of 1831 prohibiting sales of Service Maunyums can be overlooked, or if the sale of the Ambalum Mnecrasee in question was antecedent to the promulgation of the above Regulation; I have the honor, in reply, to forward herewith Copy of certain correspondence[x] which had taken place between the late Zillah Judge & the late Provincial Court

[x] To the Register to the Southern Provl. Court of Appeal Trichinopoly dated 15th March 1834.
From Do. Do. Do. to the Judge of Madura dated 9th June 1834.

Court of Appeal for the Southern Division in Suit A S No. 18 of 1814, and to state that the said Court had ruled "that the Regulation in "question can neither be considered to "have retrospective effect, nor be appli-"cable to a case in which Security of "the above description was accepted by "a Court many years previous to its pro-"mulgation". I therefore consider that altho' the sale in question is subsequent to the promulgation of the above Regu-lation, yet there can be no objection to having the Umbalum Meerassee in question transferred to the Petitioner Namasevayum Moodely –

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant

Madura
Subte. Court
20th Octr. 1815
Recd 20th [illegible]

[signature]

Subte. Judge

From
D R Lemond Esqr.
Subte. Judge of
Madura

For

To

J Blackburne Esqre

Principal Collector of

Madura

Compd

R J Johnson

Ho Sr

Judicial Department

From

D R Limond Esqr.

Sub. Judge of

Madura

To

J. Blackburne Esqr.

Princl. Collr. of

Madura

Dated 20th } Octr. 1845
Recd. 20 }

No. 183

Enclosure one

Subject

Vide Interior

Recd 30 Nov 1845 [illegible]

No. 764

101

To

The Principal Collector

Madura

Sir

With reference to my letter of the 23 Ultimo requesting you to make arrangements for a Servant of your Department meeting the Tahsildar of Puttoocottah at Shiranoor on the 10th instant for regulating the height of the Calingulah to be built at that place for the Cothamungalum Tank I have the honor to forward for your information Copy of an Arzee from the

25-4-84

the said Tahsildar reporting his inability to proceed to the place at this period in consequence of the jungle stream being filled.—

The Tahsildar has it appears Communicated with the Ameen of Anoomundagoody and they have arranged for a meeting at a more Convenient time

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed^t Servt

Tanjore
Vellum
31st October 1845
Recd 3 Novr

H C Montgomery
Collector